

On the Sub-Supersolution Approach for Dirichlet Problems driven by a $(p(x), q(x))$ -Laplacian Operator with Convection Term

Antonia Chinni

Department of Engineering, University of Messina, Italy
achinni@unime.it

Angela Sciammetta, Elisabetta Tornatore

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Palermo, Italy
angela.sciammetta@unipa.it, elisa.tornatore@unipa.it

Received: July 19, 2020

Accepted: October 23, 2020

The method of sub and super-solution is applied to obtain existence and location of solutions to a quasilinear elliptic problem with variable exponent and Dirichlet boundary conditions involving a nonlinear term f depending on solution and on its gradient. Under a suitable growth condition on the convection term f , the existence of at least one solution satisfying a priori estimate is obtained.

Keywords: $(p(x), q(x))$ -Laplacian, Dirichlet problem, gradient dependence, sub-supersolution, positive solution.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J62.

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to prove the existence of solutions for following Dirichlet problem

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}u - \Delta_{q(x)}u = f(x, u, \nabla u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ (with $N \geq 3$) is a non-empty bounded open domain with a Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$.

In problem (P) we assume that $p, q \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ and $1 < q(x) < p(x) < \infty$, for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. The so called $(p(x), q(x))$ -Laplacian is the differential operator on the left-hand side of the equation and it is identified by operators

$$\Delta_{p(x)}u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{q(x)}u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{q(x)-2} \nabla u)$$

known as $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator and $q(x)$ -Laplacian operator, respectively. When $p(x) = p$ and $q(x) = q$ (constants) it becomes the usual (p, q) -Laplacian differential operator. The nonlinearity $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of problem (P) is a Carathéodory function, i.e. $f(\cdot, s, \xi)$ is measurable for all $(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ and $f(x, \cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Problems with variable exponent find interesting applications in different physical problems, including the study of smart fluids, as electrorheology.

logical fluids ([1], [2], [6], [27], [28]), and in power electronic about the study of the thermistors ([5], [16]). There is a relevant literature about these problems, whose solutions are generally obtained by improving variational and topological methods (see for instance [4]–[25]). A detailed presentation of these problems can be found in the survey of Radulescu ([26]). We observe that the convection term f depends on the solution u and on its gradient ∇u . In this case, problem (P) can not be treated with classical variational methods. For this reason, we use another approach, based on the method of sub-supersolution, to obtain the existence of at least one solution. Recent results related to this method, applied to Dirichlet problems with $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator without convection term can be found in [8], [9], [10], [15], [18], [19], [20].

For results with p -Laplacian or (p, q) -Laplacian operator ($p, q = \text{constant}$) and convection term we cite [14], [24] and therein references.

We want to emphasize that, although the sub-supersolution method has been used to study problems in classical Sobolev spaces, for use it in Sobolev spaces with variable exponents new ideas and nontrivial techniques are needed. In order to apply the sub-supersolution method the first objective is to find a subsolution and a supersolution of problem (P) . We point out that in [23] the authors studied a nonhomogeneous Neumann problems with gradient dependence showing explicitly how one can effectively determine sub-supersolutions.

In the case of p -Laplacian or (p, q) -Laplacian operator ($p, q = \text{constant}$) a subsolution can be construct using its first eigenvalue (see for example the recent paper of Motreanu [22]) but the $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator is inhomogeneous and, usually the infimum of its eigenvalue is 0 (see [11]) so the first eigenvalue can not be used to construct a subsolution. Then to obtain one subsolution of problem (P) , we consider an auxiliary Dirichlet problem, whose nonlinear term satisfies an appropriate growth condition respect on convection term. According to our knowlegde, it is the first time when the method of sub-supersolution is implemented for Dirichlet problems with convection term in variable exponent spaces. In particular, in Theorem 3.4 we prove that if \underline{u} and \bar{u} are a subsolution and a supersolution (respectively) of problem (P) satisfying the condition $\underline{u} \leq \bar{u}$ a.e. in Ω , then problem (P) admits at least one solution u satisfying a priori estimate $\underline{u} \leq u \leq \bar{u}$ a.e. in Ω .

In the final part of the paper we show, under verifiable conditions, how our abstract result, Theorem 3.4, can be effectively applied for obtaining the existence of at least one nonnegative solution for problem (P) .

The paper is arranged as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminary properties and basic notations on variable exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces. Section 3 focuses on the solvability of an auxiliary problem, depending on a real parameter and which represents a coercive perturbation of problem (P) . Section 4 presents our main result and finally, Section 5 illustrates how the abstract result can be applied to obtain the existence of at least one nonnegative solution to problem (P) .

2. Preliminaries and basic notations

In this section, we recall definitions and tools used in the paper.

Let $p \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and define $p^- = \min_{\bar{\Omega}} p(x)$, $p^+ = \max_{\bar{\Omega}} p(x)$.

For any $p \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ with $p(x) > 1$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, we introduce the variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ defined by

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } u \text{ is measurable and } \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx < +\infty \right\},$$

and equipped with the Luxemburg norm defined by

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} := \inf \left\{ \delta > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\delta} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

These spaces extend classical Banach spaces. So they are reflexive, separable and uniformly convex Banach spaces. The dual space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is the space $L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ where $\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{p'(x)} = 1$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

In the variable Lebesgue spaces the Hölder inequality holds and we recall it here (for more details see [10]), that is

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} uv dx \right| \leq \left(\frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{(p')^-} \right) \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)} \leq 2 \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)},$$

for all $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$. Now, we consider the modular given by

$$\rho_{p(x)}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx \quad \forall u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega).$$

We have the following proposition

Proposition 2.1. ([10])

- (i) $\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} < 1 (= 1, > 1) \Leftrightarrow \rho_{p(x)}(u) < 1 (= 1, > 1);$
- (ii) $\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} > 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^-} \leq \rho_{p(x)}(u) \leq \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^+};$
- (iii) $\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} < 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^+} \leq \rho_{p(x)}(u) \leq \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^-}.$

We observe that $\rho_{p(x)}(u)$ and $\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}$ are linked by the following relation, too:

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^-} - 1 \leq \rho_{p(x)}(u) \leq \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^+} + 1 \quad \forall u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega). \tag{1}$$

Moreover, see [17], if $p_1, p_2 \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, $p_1(x) > 1$, $p_2(x) > 1$ and $p_1(x) \leq p_2(x)$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, then the embedding $L^{p_2(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p_1(x)}(\Omega)$ is continuous and it results

$$\|u\|_{L^{p_1(x)}(\Omega)} \leq (1 + |\Omega|) \|u\|_{L^{p_2(x)}(\Omega)}, \tag{2}$$

where $|\Omega|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of Ω in \mathbb{R}^N .

The variable exponent Sobolev space $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) := \{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) : |\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\},$$

and it is equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)} := \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} + \| |\nabla u| \|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}.$$

By $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ we denote the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\| := \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}.$$

It is well known that $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, is separable, reflexive and uniformly convex Banach space. Denote by

$$p^*(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{p(x)N}{N-p(x)} & \text{if } p(x) < N, \\ +\infty & \text{if } p(x) \geq N, \end{cases}$$

the critical exponent of $p(x)$, the embedding $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r(x)}(\Omega)$ is continuous and compact for each $r \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ with $r(x) > 1$ and $r(x) < p^*(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. In the sequel we denote by k_r the best constant for which one has

$$\|u\|_{L^{r(x)}(\Omega)} \leq k_r \|u\|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)}. \tag{3}$$

Finally, for every $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ we introduce $u_+, u_- \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ defined as

$$u_+ := \max\{u, 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad u_- := \max\{-u, 0\}.$$

We refer to [13] and [17] for more details on the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$.

The study of problem (P) is based on the sub-supersolution method. For more details about this topic we cite [3] and [21].

A *solution* of problem (P) is any function $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ with $f(x, u, \nabla u) \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and} \quad & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^{q(x)-2} \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) v dx, \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

A function $\bar{u} \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a *supersolution* for problem (P) if $\bar{u} \geq 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $f(x, \bar{u}, \nabla \bar{u}) \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{u}(x)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla \bar{u}(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{u}(x)|^{q(x)-2} \nabla \bar{u}(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx \\ & \geq \int_{\Omega} f(x, \bar{u}, \nabla \bar{u}) v dx, \quad \text{for all } v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega), \quad v \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

A function $\underline{u} \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a *subsolution* for problem (P) if $\underline{u} \leq 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $f(x, \underline{u}, \nabla \underline{u}) \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{u}(x)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla \underline{u}(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{u}(x)|^{q(x)-2} \nabla \underline{u}(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} f(x, \underline{u}, \nabla \underline{u}) v dx, \quad \text{for all } v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega), \quad v \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Now, we recall some useful definitions and the main theorem on pseudomonotone operators. Let X be a real reflexive Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, X^* its dual

space and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the duality pairing between them. A mapping $A : X \rightarrow X^*$ is called *coercive* if $\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle Au, u \rangle}{\|u\|} = +\infty$; the map A is called *pseudomonotone* if for all sequences $\{u_n\} \subset X$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle Au_n, u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$ we have that $Au_n \rightharpoonup Au$ and $\langle Au_n, u_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle Au, u \rangle$.

Theorem 2.2. ([3, Theorem 2.99]) *Let X be a real reflexive Banach space and let $A : X \rightarrow X^*$ be a bounded, coercive and pseudomonotone operator. Then, for every $b \in X^*$ the equation $Ax = b$ has at least one solution $x \in X$.*

In the sequel we will use the pair $(W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega), W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega))$ where $W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega) := (W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))^*$. Important properties of the negative $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator are listed in the next proposition and for the proof we refer to [10].

Proposition 2.3. *The negative $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator*

$$-\Delta_{p(x)} : W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega) \quad \text{defined as}$$

$$\langle -\Delta_{p(x)}u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) \, dx \quad \text{for all } u, v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$$

is continuous, bounded, strictly monotone (hence maximal monotone and pseudomonotone too) and it has S_+ -property i.e. every sequence $\{u_n\}$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle -\Delta_{p(x)}u_n, u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$ implies that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$.

We introduce the map $\Gamma : W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Gamma u, v \rangle &= \langle -\Delta_{p(x)}u - \Delta_{q(x)}u, v \rangle \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{q(x)-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for all $u, v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, corresponding to $(p(x), q(x))$ -Laplacian, which is a bounded and a maximal monotone operator (see Proposition 2.2). By applying [21, Proposition 2.70] we obtain the S_+ -property in turn. Moreover, Γ is coercive too, since

$$\langle \Gamma u, u \rangle \geq \|u\|^{p^-} \quad \text{if } \|u\| > 1.$$

Finally, with standard arguments it is possible to prove that the following comparison principle holds.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $u, v \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ with $u \leq v$ on $\partial\Omega$ and such that*

$$\int_{\{u>v\}} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u - |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v) \cdot \nabla(u - v) \, dx = 0.$$

Then $u \leq v$ a.e. in Ω .

3. Main result

Let us admit that a subsolution $\underline{u} \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and a supersolution $\bar{u} \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ for problem (P) with $\underline{u}(x) \leq \bar{u}(x)$ a.e. in Ω are given. Our main goal is to obtain a solution $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ of problem (P) which satisfies the property $\underline{u} \leq u \leq \bar{u}$ a.e. in Ω . We are going to use comparison and truncation techniques. To this aim we

define the truncation operator $T : W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ associated to the ordered pair of sub-supersolutions \underline{u}, \bar{u} of problem (P), given by

$$Tu(x) = \begin{cases} \bar{u}(x) & \text{if } u(x) \geq \bar{u}(x), \\ u(x) & \text{if } \underline{u}(x) < u(x) < \bar{u}(x), \\ \underline{u}(x) & \text{if } u(x) \leq \underline{u}(x), \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

for all $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. On the basis of (8) it is easy to verify that $T : W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is continuous and bounded (in the sense that it maps bounded sets into bounded sets). The ordered interval $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ associated to the ordered pair $\underline{u} \leq \bar{u}$ is introduced as

$$[\underline{u}, \bar{u}] = \{u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) : \underline{u}(x) \leq u(x) \leq \bar{u}(x) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega\}.$$

Taking into account (8), we have $T(u) \in [\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ for all $u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$.

We assume that $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in (P) satisfies the following growth condition:

(H) there exist a positive constant a and a function $\sigma \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ such that

$$|f(x, s, \xi)| \leq \sigma(x) + a|\xi|^{p(x)-1}$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for all $s \in [\underline{u}(x), \bar{u}(x)]$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Using hypothesis (H) it is easy to prove that $f(x, u, \nabla u) \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ for all $u \in [\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$. Then (H) guarantees the existence of integrals in (4), (5) and (6).

Consider the *Nemytskij operator* $N : [\underline{u}, \bar{u}] \rightarrow W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega)$ defined by

$$\langle N(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x), \nabla u(x))v(x)dx,$$

for all $u \in [\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$, $v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and where f is the function which appears in (P).

It is well defined by virtue of hypothesis (H), moreover the compact embedding theorem implies that the Nemytskij operator $N : [\underline{u}, \bar{u}] \rightarrow W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega)$ is completely continuous, since the operator N is the composition of the mapping $u \rightarrow f(x, u, \nabla u)$ taking value in $L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ (which is continuous and bounded by hypothesis (H)) and the linear embedding $L^{p'(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega) = (W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))^*$ (which is compact, because it is the adjoint of the compact embedding $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$).

We introduce the cut-off function $\pi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\pi(x, s) = \begin{cases} (s - \bar{u}(x))^{p(x)-1} & \text{if } s > \bar{u}(x), \\ 0 & \text{if } \underline{u}(x) \leq s \leq \bar{u}(x), \\ -(\underline{u}(x) - s)^{p(x)-1} & \text{if } s < \underline{u}(x). \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

From (9) we obtain the following relation

$$|\pi(x, s)| \leq c|s|^{p(x)-1} + \varrho(x) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega, \text{ all } s \in \mathbb{R} \quad (10)$$

with $c = \max\{1, 2^{p^+-1}\}$ and $\varrho \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ defined by

$$\varrho(x) = \max\{|\underline{u}(x)|^{p(x)-1}, |\bar{u}(x)|^{p(x)-1}\}.$$

It is useful to point out some estimates related to the function π .

Proposition 3.1. *The cut-off function $\pi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by (9), satisfies the following estimates:*

$$\int_{\Omega} \pi(x, u(x))u(x) \, dx \geq r_1 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} \, dx - r_2, \tag{11}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\pi(x, u(x))||v(x)| \, dx \leq \left(r_3 \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{\frac{p^+}{p^-}} + r_4 \right) \|v\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}, \tag{12}$$

with r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 positive constants.

Proof. For the proof of the estimate (11) we observe that since $p \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ and $p(x) > 1$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, then there exist two positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that for each $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ we have

$$\begin{cases} (\xi - \eta)^{p(x)-1}\xi \geq c_1|\xi|^{p(x)} - c_2|\eta|^{p(x)-1}|\xi| & \text{if } \xi \geq \eta \\ (\eta - \xi)^{p(x)-1}\xi \leq -c_1|\xi|^{p(x)} + c_2|\eta|^{p(x)-1}|\xi| & \text{if } \xi < \eta. \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

From (13), for each $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \pi(x, u(x))u(x) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\{u>\bar{u}\}} (u(x) - \bar{u}(x))^{p(x)-1} u(x) \, dx - \int_{\{u<\underline{u}\}} (\underline{u}(x) - u(x))^{p(x)-1} u(x) \, dx \\ &\geq \int_{\{u>\bar{u}\}} (c_1|u(x)|^{p(x)} - c_2|\bar{u}(x)|^{p(x)-1}|u(x)|) \, dx + \int_{\{u<\underline{u}\}} (c_1|u(x)|^{p(x)} - c_2|\underline{u}(x)|^{p(x)-1}|u(x)|) \, dx \\ &\geq c_1 \left[\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \, dx - \int_{\{u \leq \underline{u} \leq \bar{u}\}} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \, dx \right] - c_2 \int_{\Omega} (|\bar{u}(x)|^{p(x)-1} + |\underline{u}(x)|^{p(x)-1}) |u(x)| \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then the Young inequality applied with $\bar{\epsilon} = \frac{c_1}{2c_2}$ and $|u(x)| \leq |\bar{u}(x)| + |\underline{u}(x)|$ for each $x \in \Omega$ such that $\underline{u}(x) \leq u(x) \leq \bar{u}(x)$ shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \pi(x, u(x))u(x) \, dx &\geq c_1 \left[\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} (|\bar{u}(x)| + |\underline{u}(x)|)^{p(x)} \, dx \right] \\ &\quad - c_2 \left[\frac{c_1}{2c_2} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \, dx + c_{\bar{\epsilon}} \int_{\Omega} (|\bar{u}(x)|^{p(x)-1} + |\underline{u}(x)|^{p(x)-1})^{p'(x)} \, dx \right] \\ &= r_1 \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \, dx - r_2 \end{aligned}$$

with positive constants $c_{\bar{\epsilon}}, r_1 := \frac{c_1}{2}$ and

$$r_2 := c_1 \int_{\Omega} (|\underline{u}(x)| + |\bar{u}(x)|)^{p(x)} \, dx + c_2 c_{\bar{\epsilon}} \int_{\Omega} (|\bar{u}(x)|^{p(x)-1} + |\underline{u}(x)|^{p(x)-1})^{p'(x)} \, dx.$$

The proof of estimate (12), follows by (9), using Hölder’s inequality and the modular.

For each $u, v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\pi(x, u(x))||v(x)| \, dx \\ &= \int_{\{u>\bar{u}\}} (u(x) - \bar{u}(x))^{p(x)-1} |v(x)| \, dx + \int_{\{u<\underline{u}\}} (\underline{u}(x) - u(x))^{p(x)-1} |v(x)| \, dx \\ &\leq 2 \left(\|(u - \bar{u})^{p(x)-1}\|_{L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)} + \|(\underline{u} - u)^{p(x)-1}\|_{L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)} \right) \|v\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

From (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(u - \bar{u})^{p(x)-1}\|_{L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)}^{p'^-} \leq 1 + \rho_{p'(x)} \left((u - \bar{u})^{p(x)-1} \right) = 1 + \rho_{p(x)}(u - \bar{u}) \\ &= 1 + \int_{\Omega} |u - \bar{u}|^{p(x)} \, dx \leq 1 + 2^{p^+-1} \left(\rho_{p(x)}(u) + \rho_{p(x)}(\bar{u}) \right) \\ &\leq 1 + 2^{p^+-1} \left(\|\bar{u}\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^+} + 2 \right) + 2^{p^+-1} \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^+}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\|(\underline{u} - u)^{p(x)-1}\|_{L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)}^{p'^-} \leq 1 + 2^{p^+-1} \left(\|\underline{u}\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^+} + 2 \right) + 2^{p^+-1} \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^+}.$$

Substituing both previous relations in (14) and taking into account that $p'^- > 1$, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\pi(x, u(x))||v(x)| \, dx \leq \left(r_3 \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{\frac{p^+}{p'^-}} + r_4 \right) \|v\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}$$

where $r_3 := 2^{\frac{p^+-1}{p'^-}+2}$ and

$$r_4 := 2 \left[\left(1 + 2^{p^+-1} \left(\|\bar{u}\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^+} + 2 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'^-}} + \left(1 + 2^{p^+-1} \left(\|\underline{u}\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^+} + 2 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'^-}} \right]. \quad \square$$

Now, we perturb problem (P) using the Nemytskij operator

$$\Pi : W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega) \text{ defined by } \Pi(u) = \pi(\cdot, u(\cdot)),$$

where $\pi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as in (9), the Nemytskij operator $N : [\underline{u}, \bar{u}] \rightarrow W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega)$ is composed with the truncation operator $T : W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ given by (8) and a parameter $\lambda > 0$. In this way we obtain the auxiliary truncated problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}u - \Delta_{q(x)}u + \lambda\Pi(u) = N \circ T(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{T_\lambda}$$

from which we have following the result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let \underline{u} and \bar{u} be a subsolution and a supersolution of problem (P), respectively, with $\underline{u} \leq \bar{u}$ a.e. in Ω such that hypothesis (H) is fulfilled. Then, there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ there is at least one solution $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ of the auxiliary problem (T_λ) .*

Proof. For every $\lambda > 0$ let $A_\lambda : W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega)$ be the operator defined by

$$\langle A_\lambda(u), v \rangle = \langle \Gamma(u) + \lambda \Pi(u) - N \circ T(u), v \rangle \tag{15}$$

for each $u, v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. The composed operator $N \circ T$ is bounded because T is bounded and N is completely continuous. Since Γ and Π are bounded, it follows from (15) that A_λ is bounded.

The operator A_λ is pseudomonotone. Indeed, let $\{u_n\} \subset W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ be a sequence

such that
$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega), \tag{16}$$

and
$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle A_\lambda u_n, u_n - u \rangle \leq 0. \tag{17}$$

Consider
$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_\lambda u_n, u_n - u \rangle &= \langle \Gamma(u_n), u_n - u \rangle + \lambda \int_\Omega \pi(x, u_n)(u_n - u) dx \\ &\quad - \int_\Omega f(x, Tu_n, \nabla Tu_n)(u_n - u) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Since $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $p(x) < p^*(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, using a subsequence if necessary, we have $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$. (1), (9) and the Hölder inequality imply

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_\Omega \pi(x, u_n)(u_n - u) dx \right| \tag{19} \\ &\leq \int_{\{u_n < \underline{u}\}} (\underline{u} - u_n)^{p(x)-1} |u_n - u| dx + \int_{\{u_n > \bar{u}\}} (u_n - \bar{u})^{p(x)-1} |u_n - u| dx \\ &\leq 2 \left[(2 + \|\underline{u} - u_n\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^+})^{\frac{1}{(p')^-}} + (2 + \|u_n - \bar{u}\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^+})^{\frac{1}{(p')^-}} \right] \|u_n - u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Using hypothesis (H) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_\Omega f(x, Tu_n, \nabla Tu_n)(u_n - u) dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_\Omega |\sigma| |u_n - u| dx + a \int_\Omega |\nabla Tu_n|^{p(x)-1} |u_n - u| dx. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Because of (H), $\sigma \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ and using Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\int_\Omega |\sigma| |u_n - u| dx \leq 2 \|\sigma\|_{L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)} \|u_n - u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{21}$$

We want to prove that

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla Tu_n|^{p(x)-1} |u_n - u| dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{22}$$

The definition (8) of the truncation operator T yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega |\nabla Tu_n|^{p(x)-1} |u_n - u| dx = \int_{\{u_n < \underline{u}\}} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{p(x)-1} |u_n - u| dx \\ &+ \int_{\{\underline{u} \leq u_n \leq \bar{u}\}} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-1} |u_n - u| dx + \int_{\{u_n > \bar{u}\}} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p(x)-1} |u_n - u| dx. \end{aligned}$$

From Hölder's inequality and (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\{u_n < \underline{u}\}} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{p(x)-1} |u_n - u| \, dx \\
 & \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{p(x)-1} |u_n - u| \, dx \leq 2(2 + \|\nabla \underline{u}\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^+})^{\frac{1}{(p')^-}} \|u_n - u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}, \\
 & \int_{\{\underline{u} \leq u_n \leq \bar{u}\}} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-1} |u_n - u| \, dx \\
 & \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-1} |u_n - u| \, dx \leq 2(2 + \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^+})^{\frac{1}{(p')^-}} \|u_n - u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}, \\
 & \int_{\{u_n > \bar{u}\}} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p(x)-1} |u_n - u| \, dx \\
 & \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p(x)-1} |u_n - u| \, dx \leq 2(2 + \|\nabla \bar{u}\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^+})^{\frac{1}{(p')^-}} \|u_n - u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (22) is verified. Owing to (21), (22) and (20) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x, Tu_n, \nabla Tu_n)(u_n - u) \, dx = 0. \tag{23}$$

Due to (19) and (23), inequality (17) becomes $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Gamma(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$.

From the $(S)_+$ -property of the operator $-\Delta_{p(x)} - \Delta_{q(x)}$ in conjunction with (16), we have strong convergence of $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Then

$$\Gamma(u_n) \rightarrow \Gamma(u). \tag{24}$$

By (24) and $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, one has $A_\lambda u_n \rightharpoonup A_\lambda u$, $\langle A_\lambda u_n, u_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle A_\lambda u, u \rangle$, then the operator A_λ is pseudomonotone.

In order to prove that the operator $A_\lambda : W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega)$ is coercive we observe that in view of (15) and (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle A_\lambda u, u \rangle & \geq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} \, dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \pi(x, u)u \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, Tu, \nabla(Tu))u \, dx \\
 & \geq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} \, dx + \lambda r_1 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} \, dx - \lambda r_2 - \int_{\Omega} f(x, Tu, \nabla(Tu))u \, dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

For every $u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, using hypothesis (H) , the Young and the Hölder inequality and (3), for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{\Omega} f(x, Tu, \nabla(Tu))u \, dx \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} (\sigma(x)|u(x)| + a|\nabla(Tu)|^{p(x)-1}|u(x)|) \, dx \\
 & \leq 2k_p \|\sigma\|_{L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)} \|u\| + 2ak_p \left(\|\nabla \underline{u}\|_{L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)}^{p(x)-1} + \|\nabla \bar{u}\|_{L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)}^{p(x)-1} \right) \|u\| \\
 & \quad + a \left[\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \, dx + c_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} \, dx \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Taking into account (26), (3), (11) and (12), then (25) becomes for all $\|u\| \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_\lambda u, u \rangle &\geq (1 - a\epsilon) \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - d \|u\| + (\lambda r_1 - ac_\epsilon) \int_\Omega |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx - \lambda r_2 \\ &\geq (1 - a\epsilon) \|u\|^{p^-} - d \|u\| + (\lambda r_1 - ac_\epsilon) \int_\Omega |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx - \lambda r_2. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Because of $p^- > 1$, choosing $\epsilon \in]0, 1[$, from (27) we get coercivity of the operator A_λ for all $\lambda > \frac{ac_\epsilon}{r_1}$. Since the operator $A_\lambda : W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega)$ is bounded, pseudomonotone and coercive, Theorem 2.2 ensures that there exists $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ which solves (T_λ) and the proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.3. We observe that it is possible to improve growth condition (H) replacing it with the more general condition

(H_β) there exist a positive constant a , a function $\sigma \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ and $\beta \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ with $0 \leq \beta^- \leq \beta^+ < \left(\frac{p}{p^*}\right)^-$ such that $|f(x, s, \xi)| \leq \sigma(x) + a|\xi|^{\frac{\beta(x)}{p(x)-\beta(x)}}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for all $s \in [\underline{u}(x), \bar{u}(x)]$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

This condition extends to the variable case the growth condition, which is present, e.g., in [22]–[24]. In particular, condition $\beta^+ < \left(\frac{p}{p^*}\right)^-$ ensures the well posedness of the space $L^{\frac{p}{p-\beta}(x)}(\Omega)$ and, in the light of Theorem 2.3 of [13], provides the compact embedding of $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ in $L^{\frac{p}{p-\beta}(x)}(\Omega)$ needed in the proof of Theorem 3.2. It should be observed that condition (H_β) entails other estimates, similar to (11) and (12).

Our main result on problem (P) is the following.

Theorem 3.4. *Let \underline{u} and \bar{u} be a subsolution and a supersolution of problem (P) , respectively, with $\underline{u} \leq \bar{u}$ a.e. in Ω such that hypothesis (H) is fulfilled. Then problem (P) possesses at least one solution $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ satisfying the location property $\underline{u} \leq u \leq \bar{u}$ a.e. in Ω .*

Proof. Fixed $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently large, Theorem 3.2 ensures the existence of $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ that is a solution of the truncated auxiliary problem (T_λ) . We want to prove that $\underline{u} \leq u \leq \bar{u}$ a.e. in Ω .

Choosing $(u - \bar{u})_+ \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ as a test function in (5) and in (T_λ) , we obtain that

$$\langle \Gamma(\bar{u}), (u - \bar{u})_+ \rangle \geq \int_\Omega f(x, \bar{u}, \nabla \bar{u})(u - \bar{u})_+ dx, \tag{28}$$

and

$$\langle \Gamma(u), (u - \bar{u})_+ \rangle + \lambda \int_\Omega \pi(x, u)(u - \bar{u})_+ dx = \int_\Omega f(x, Tu, \nabla(Tu))(u - \bar{u})_+ dx. \tag{29}$$

Subtracting (28) from (29) and using (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \Gamma(u), (u - \bar{u})_+ \rangle - \langle \Gamma(\bar{u}), (u - \bar{u})_+ \rangle + \lambda \int_\Omega \pi(x, u)(u - \bar{u})_+ dx \\ &\leq \int_\Omega (f(x, Tu, \nabla(Tu)) - f(x, \bar{u}, \nabla \bar{u}))(u - \bar{u})_+ dx \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_{\{u>\bar{u}\}} (f(x, Tu, \nabla(Tu)) - f(x, \bar{u}, \nabla\bar{u}))(u - \bar{u}) dx = 0. \tag{30}$$

We observe that the classical inequality

$$(|\xi|^{h-2}\xi - |\eta|^{h-2}\eta) \cdot (\eta - \xi) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and for each } h > 1 \tag{31}$$

ensures

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Gamma(u), (u - \bar{u})_+ \rangle - \langle \Gamma(\bar{u}), (u - \bar{u})_+ \rangle \\ &= \int_{\{u>\bar{u}\}} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u - |\nabla\bar{u}|^{p(x)-2}\nabla\bar{u}) \cdot \nabla(u - \bar{u}) dx \\ & \int_{\{u>\bar{u}\}} (|\nabla u|^{q(x)-2}\nabla u - |\nabla\bar{u}|^{q(x)-2}\nabla\bar{u}) \cdot \nabla(u - \bar{u}) dx \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

From (9), (30) and (32) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lambda \int_{\{u>\bar{u}\}} (u - \bar{u})^{p(x)} dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} \pi(x, u)(u - \bar{u})_+ dx \\ &\leq \langle \Gamma(u), (u - \bar{u})_+ \rangle - \langle \Gamma(\bar{u}), (u - \bar{u})_+ \rangle + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \pi(x, u)(u - \bar{u})_+ dx \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $u \leq \bar{u}$ a.e in Ω .

With similar calculations we can show that $\underline{u} \leq u$ a.e in Ω . Consequently, it results $Tu = u$, $\Pi(u) = 0$ and so the solution u of the auxiliary truncated problem (T_λ) is a solution of the original problem (P) . □

4. Application and example

The goal of this section is to construct a subsolution $\underline{u} \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and a supersolution $\bar{u} \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ of problem (P) with $0 \leq \underline{u} < \bar{u}$ a.e. in Ω in order to apply Theorem 4.1. We introduce the following assumptions:

(H1) there exists a function $g \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$ with $g(x, 0) \geq 0$, $g(x, 0) \not\equiv 0 \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$, such that $s \rightarrow g(x, s)$ is nonincreasing in $s \in [0, \infty[$, for all $x \in \Omega$, and

$$f(x, s, \xi) \geq g(x, s) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N;$$

(H2) there exists a positive constant $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x, \alpha_0, 0) < 0$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

The following result allows to get existence of nonnegative solutions to problem (P) .

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that conditions (H1) and (H2) hold, and*

$$|f(x, s, \xi)| \leq \sigma(x) + a|\xi|^{p(x)-1} \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \text{ for all } s \in]0, \alpha_0], \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

for $\sigma \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ and a positive constant a . Then, problem (P) admits at least one nonnegative solution $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ satisfying $u(x) < \alpha_0$ a.e. in Ω .

Proof. In virtue of hypothesis (H1) there exists a positive constant $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$M = \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} g(x, 0).$$

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}u - \Delta_{q(x)}u = M & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{33}$$

We claim that problem (33) admits one unique solution $w \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Consider the operator Γ given by (7) and further comments. Using Theorem 2.2 there exists $w \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\langle \Gamma(w), v \rangle = \langle M, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} Mv(x) \, dx$$

for all $v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Then $w \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution to problem (33) and this solution is unique due to (31) and to the strict monotonicity of the operator Γ .

Choosing $-w_- \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ in (33) as test function one has

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{p(x)-2} \nabla w \cdot \nabla(-w_-) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{q(x)-2} \nabla(w) \cdot \nabla(-w_-) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} M(-w_-) \, dx,$$

then

$$0 \leq \int_{\{w<0\}} |\nabla w|^{p(x)} \, dx \leq \int_{\{w<0\}} |\nabla w|^{p(x)} \, dx + \int_{\{w<0\}} |\nabla w|^{q(x)} \, dx = \int_{\{w<0\}} M w \, dx \leq 0.$$

From Lemma 2.4 we have $w \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω . On the other hand, condition $M > 0$ implies that $w \not\equiv 0$. Moreover, from Theorem 4.1 of [12] we have that $w \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Now, we claim that there exists a unique solution of following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}u - \Delta_{q(x)}u = g(x, u(x)) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{34}$$

Consider the function $g^* : \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$g^*(x, s) = \begin{cases} g(x, 0) & s < 0, \\ g(x, s) & 0 \leq s \leq w(x), \\ g(x, w(x)) & s > w(x), \end{cases} \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$$

and put $G^*(x, s) = \int_0^s g^*(x, z) \, dz \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$.

We observe that g^* is a Carathéodory function and moreover we have

$$\min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} g^*(x, \|w\|_\infty) \leq g^*(x, s) \leq M \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{35}$$

Consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}u - \Delta_{q(x)}u = g^*(x, u(x)) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

and the functional $I : W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$I(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} |\nabla u|^{q(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} G^*(x, u(x)) dx.$$

Condition (35) and $p^- > 1$ ensure that I is coercive and belongs to $C^1(W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ with

$$\langle I'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{q(x)-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx - \int_{\Omega} g^*(x, u(x)) v(x) dx$$

for each $u, v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Also, using the embedding theorem, we see that I is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous. Therefore, by the Weierstrass-Tonelli theorem, we can find $\underline{u} \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ such that

$$I(\underline{u}) = \inf_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)} I(u). \tag{37}$$

From (37) we have $I'(\underline{u}) = 0$ so we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla \underline{u} \cdot \nabla h dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{q(x)-2} \nabla \underline{u} \cdot \nabla h dx = \int_{\Omega} g^*(x, \underline{u}) h dx, \tag{38}$$

for all $h \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, i.e. \underline{u} is a weak solution for problem (36). Now, choosing $h = -u_- \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ as test function in (38) and taking into account $g(x, 0) \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\{\underline{u} < 0\}} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\{\underline{u} < 0\}} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{q(x)} dx = \\ &= \int_{\{\underline{u} < 0\}} g(x, 0)(-u_-) dx \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from Lemma 2.4, we have $\underline{u} \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω . Because of $g^*(x, 0) = g(x, 0) \not\equiv 0$, we observe that $\underline{u} \not\equiv 0$.

By choosing $(\underline{u} - w)_+ \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ as test function in (33) and (36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla \underline{u} \cdot \nabla (\underline{u} - w)_+ dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{q(x)-2} \nabla \underline{u} \cdot \nabla (\underline{u} - w)_+ dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} g^*(x, \underline{u})(\underline{u} - w)_+ dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{p(x)-2} \nabla w \cdot \nabla (\underline{u} - w)_+ dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{q(x)-2} \nabla w \cdot \nabla (\underline{u} - w)_+ dx = \int_{\Omega} M(\underline{u} - w)_+ dx$$

and so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \underline{u}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla \underline{u} - |\nabla w|^{p(x)-2} \nabla w) \cdot \nabla (\underline{u} - w)_+ dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla \underline{u}|^{q(x)-2} \nabla \underline{u} - |\nabla w|^{q(x)-2} \nabla w) \cdot \nabla (\underline{u} - w)_+ dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (g^*(x, \underline{u}) - M)(\underline{u} - w)_+ dx . \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account hypothesis (H1) and the classical inequality (31) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\{\underline{u}>w\}} (|\nabla \underline{u}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla \underline{u} - |\nabla w|^{p(x)-2} \nabla w) \cdot \nabla (\underline{u} - w) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\{\underline{u}>w\}} (|\nabla \underline{u}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla \underline{u} - |\nabla w|^{p(x)-2} \nabla w) \cdot \nabla (\underline{u} - w) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\{\underline{u}>w\}} (|\nabla \underline{u}|^{q(x)-2} \nabla \underline{u} - |\nabla w|^{q(x)-2} \nabla w) \cdot \nabla (\underline{u} - w) dx \\ &= \int_{\{\underline{u}>w\}} (g(x, w(x)) - M)(\underline{u} - w) dx \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence, from Lemma 2.4, we have $\underline{u} \leq w$ a.e. in Ω . Therefore $g^*(x, \underline{u}) = g(x, \underline{u})$ and \underline{u} is a nonnegative solution of (34). Moreover, \underline{u} is unique (for the proof see for example [9], [19]).

Now we claim that function \underline{u} is a subsolution of problem (P).

In fact, taking into account hypothesis (H1) and taking in the mind that \underline{u} is solution of (34) we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla \underline{u} \cdot \nabla v dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{q(x)-2} \nabla \underline{u} \cdot \nabla v dx = \int_{\Omega} g(x, \underline{u}) v dx \leq \int_{\Omega} f(x, \underline{u}, \nabla \underline{u}) v dx$$

for all $v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. From hypothesis (H2) we conclude that the constant function $\bar{u} = \alpha_0$ is a supersolution of problem (P); in fact for all $v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, $v \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla \bar{u} \cdot \nabla v dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{q(x)-2} \nabla \bar{u} \cdot \nabla v dx = 0 \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} f(x, \alpha_0, \nabla \alpha_0) v dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x, \alpha_0, 0) v dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we claim that $\underline{u} \leq \alpha_0 = \bar{u}$ a.e $x \in \Omega$.

We observe that from hypotheses (H1) and (H2) with $\xi = 0$, $s = \alpha_0$ we have

$$g(x, \alpha_0) \leq f(x, \alpha_0, 0) < 0 \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

Using $(\underline{u} - \alpha_0)_+ \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ as test function in (34), and taking into account hypothesis (H1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla \underline{u} \cdot \nabla (\underline{u} - \alpha_0)_+ dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{q(x)-2} \nabla \underline{u} \cdot \nabla (\underline{u} - \alpha_0)_+ dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} g(x, \underline{u})(\underline{u} - \alpha_0)_+ dx \leq \int_{\Omega} g(x, \alpha_0)(\underline{u} - \alpha_0)_+ dx, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$0 \leq \int_{\{\underline{u}>\alpha_0\}} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\{\underline{u}>\alpha_0\}} |\nabla \underline{u}|^{q(x)} \leq \int_{\{\underline{u}>\alpha_0\}} g(x, \alpha_0)(\underline{u} - \alpha_0) dx \leq 0.$$

From Lemma 2.1 we have $\underline{u} \leq \alpha_0$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$. We observe that hypothesis (H) holds for the constructed subsolution \underline{u} and supersolution \bar{u} of our problem (P). Therefore, Theorem 4.1 ensures the existence of a solution $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}$ to problem (P), which satisfies the property $\underline{u} \leq u \leq \bar{u}$ a.e. in Ω . Taking into account that $\underline{u} \geq 0$, we conclude that u is nonnegative. This completes the proof. \square

Finally, we present an example of problem, which admits at least one nonnegative solution. Our aim is to apply Theorem 4.1.

Example 4.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be, with $N \geq 3$ and consider $p, q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ with $1 < q(x) < p(x) < \infty$, for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. Let $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$f(x, s, \xi) = g(x, s) + h(\xi) \text{ for all } (x, s, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N,$$

with

$$g(x, s) = \begin{cases} |x| + 1 & \text{if } x \in \Omega, s \leq 0, \\ (|x| + 1)(1 - s) & \text{if } x \in \Omega, 0 < s \leq 2, \\ -(|x| + 1) & \text{if } x \in \Omega, s > 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$h(\xi) = \min \left\{ |\xi|^{p^- - 1}, |\xi|^{p^+ - 1} \right\} \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x, s, \xi)| &= |g(x, s) + h(\xi)| \leq |g(x, s)| + |h(\xi)| \leq (|x| + 1) + \min \left\{ |\xi|^{p^- - 1}, |\xi|^{p^+ - 1} \right\} \\ &\leq (|x| + 1) + |\xi|^{p(x) - 1}, \end{aligned}$$

a.e. in Ω , for all $s \in [0, 2]$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and so condition requested in Theorem 4.1 is verified by choosing $a = 1$, $\alpha_0 = 2$ and $\sigma(x) = |x| + 1$. On the other hand, since $h(\xi) \geq 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have

$$f(x, s, \xi) = g(x, s) + h(\xi) \geq g(x, s) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

and

$$f(x, 2, 0) = g(x, 2) = -(|x| + 1) < 0 \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega$$

such that hypotheses (H1) and (H2) are also verified. This allows us to apply Theorem 4.1 to the problem (P) with f as given above.

Acknowledgements. The authors are members of the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM). The paper is partially supported by PRIN 2017 – Progetti di Ricerca di Rilevante Interesse Nazionale, “Nonlinear Differential Problems via Variational, Topological and Set-Valued Methods” (2017AYM8XW).

References

- [1] E. Acerbi, G. Mingione: *Regularity results for a class of functionals with non-standard growth*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Analysis 156 (2001) 121–140.
- [2] M. Bulíček, A. Glitzky, M. Liero: *Systems describing electrothermal effects with $p(x)$ -Laplacian like structure for discontinuous variable exponents*, SIAM J. Math. Analysis 48 (2016) 3496–3514.
- [3] S. Carl, V. K. Le, D. Motreanu: *Nonsmooth Variational Problems and their Inequalities. Comparison Principles and Applications*, Springer, New York (2007).
- [4] A. Chinnì, A. Sciammetta, E. Tornatore: *Existence of non-zero solutions for a Dirichlet problem driven by $(p(x), q(x))$ -Laplacian*, preprint (2019).
- [5] G. Cimatti: *Remark on the existence and uniqueness for the thermistor problem under mixed boundary conditions*, Quart. Appl. Math. 47 (1989) 117–121.
- [6] A. Coscia, G. Mingione: *Hölder continuity of the gradient of $p(x)$ -harmonic mappings*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math. 328 (1999) 363–368.
- [7] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, M. Ružička: *Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2017, Springer, Berlin (2011).
- [8] X. L. Fan: *On the sub-supersolution method for $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations*, J. Math. Analysis Appl. 330 (2007) 665–682.
- [9] X. L. Fan: *Existence and uniqueness for the $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem*, Math. Nachrichten 284 (2011) 1435–1445.
- [10] X. L. Fan, Q. H. Zhang: *Existence of solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem*, Nonlinear Analysis 52 (2003) 1843–1852.
- [11] X. L. Fan, Q. Zhang, D. Zhao: *Eigenvalue of $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem*, J. Math. Analysis Appl. 302 (2005) 306–317.
- [12] X. L. Fan, D. Zhao: *A class of De Giorgi type and Hölder continuity*, Nonlinear Analysis 36 (1999) 295–318.
- [13] X. L. Fan, D. Zhao: *On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$* , J. Math. Analysis Appl. 263 (2001) 424–446.
- [14] L. F. O. Faria, O. H. Miyagaki, D. Motreanu: *Comparison and positive solutions for problems with (p, q) -Laplacian and convection term*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 57 (2014) 687–698.
- [15] L. Gasinski, N. S. Papageorgiou: *A pair of positive solutions for the Dirichlet $p(z)$ -Laplacian with concave and convex nonlinearities*, J. Global Optimization 56 (2013) 1347–1360.
- [16] A. Glitzky, M. Liero: *Analysis of $p(x)$ -Laplace thermistor models describing the electrothermal behavior of organic semiconductor devices*, Nonlinear Analysis Real World Appl. 34 (2017) 536–562.
- [17] O. Kováčik, J. Rákosník: *On the spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{1,p(x)}$* , Czechoslovak Math. 41 (1991) 592–618.
- [18] V. K. Le: *On a sub-supersolution method for variational inequalities with Leray-Lions operators in variable exponent spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis 71 (2009) 3305–3321.
- [19] V. V. Motreanu: *Uniqueness results for a Dirichlet problem with variable exponent*, Commun. Pure Appl. Analysis 9/5 (2010) 1399–1410.

- [20] V. V. Motreanu: *Multiplicity of solutions for variable exponent Dirichlet problem with concave term*, Discrete Cont. Dyn. Systems Ser. S, 5/4 (2012) 845–855.
- [21] D. Motreanu, V. V. Motreanu, N. Papageorgiou: *Topological and variational methods with applications to nonlinear boundary value problems*, Springer, New York (2014).
- [22] D. Motreanu, A. Sciammetta, E. Tornatore: *A sub-supersolution approach for Robin boundary value problems with full gradient dependence*, Mathematics 8/5 (2020), 658.
- [23] D. Motreanu, A. Sciammetta, E. Tornatore: *A sub-supersolution approach for Neumann boundary value problems with gradient dependence*, Nonlinear Analysis Real World Appl. 54 (2020) 1–12.
- [24] D. Motreanu, E. Tornatore: *Location of solutions for quasi-linear elliptic equations with general gradient dependence*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Diff. Equations 87 (2017) 1–10.
- [25] N. S. Papageorgiou, E. M. Rocha: *A multiplicity theorem for a variable exponent Dirichlet problem*, Glasgow Math. J. 50 (2004) 335–349.
- [26] V. D. Radulescu: *Nonlinear elliptic equations with variable exponent: old and new*, Nonlinear Analysis 121 (2015) 336–369.
- [27] K. Rajagopal, M. Ružička: *Mathematical modelling of electrorheological materials*, Cont. Mech. Thermodynamics 13 (2001) 59–78.
- [28] M. Ružička: *Electrorheological Fluids Modeling and Mathematical Theory*, Springer, Berlin (2000).