

A Generalized Variational Optimization Framework for Industrial Process Management

Tanveer Ahmad Wani¹, Kaustubh Milind Utpat², Dr. Neema Sajju Balan³, Pooja Sharma⁴, Banothu Vijay⁵, Amit Kansal⁶, Senthil Jayapalan⁷, J. Monisha⁸

¹*Department of Physics, Noida International University, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India.
tanveer.ahmad@niu.edu.in*

²*Department of Mechanical Engineering, Vishwakarma University, Maharashtra, Pune, India.
kaustubh.utpat@vupune.ac.in*

³*Rungta College of Engineering and Technology, Rungta International Skills University,
Bhilai, Chhattisgarh, India. neema@rungta.org*

⁴*Centre for Research Impact & Outcome, Chitkara University Institute of Engineering and
Technology, Chitkara University, Rajpura, Punjab, India. pooja.sharma.orp@chitkara.edu.in,
<https://orcid.org/0009-0009-7750-9896>*

⁵*Centre for Multidisciplinary Research, Anurag University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.
banothuvijay@rediffmail.com, <https://orcid.org/0009-0005-0664-9868>*

⁶*Quantum University Research Center, Quantum University, Roorkee, Uttarakhand, India.
amit.kansal@quantumeducation.in, <https://orcid.org/0009-0006-5541-8289>*

⁷*Associate Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Aarupadai Veedu Institute of
Technology, Vinayaka Mission's Research Foundation (DU), Tamil Nadu, India.
senthiljayapalan@gmail.com, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1255-7725>*

⁸*Assistant Professor, Department of Management Studies, Meenakshi College of Arts and
Science, Meenakshi Academy of Higher Education and Research, Chennai, Tamil Nadu,
India. jmonishamba@maher.ac.in*

Abstract

The paper presents a generalized variational optimization framework that allows managing complex industrial processes by representing process decisions as functionals within a convex functional space rather than scalar variables. In the proposed technique, the optimization problem of the industrial system is represented in a form of variational inequality model in which the optimal state is represented by the equilibrium between multiple process goals and constraints along with the interrelations. Using the operator theory, the mathematical properties of the optimal equilibrium in terms of existence, uniqueness, convergence, and stability are determined with the help of monotone operators and fixed-point theory. An algorithm using projections is constructed for solving the equilibrium problem while maintaining feasibility despite system constraints. The efficiency of the proposed framework is evaluated based on the results of numerical experiments carried out on examples of industrial optimization problems. It has been found that the proposed framework ensures faster convergence, lower equilibrium error, better constraint satisfaction, and higher operator stability compared to classical gradient and convex optimization techniques.

Keywords: Variational optimization, variational inequality, convex analysis, monotone operator, functional optimization, equilibrium theory, industrial process management.

1. Introduction

It is essential for modern industrial systems to employ sophisticated techniques of optimization because of the growing interconnection of production, energy, and resources that feature intricate nonlinear interactions (Chen et al., 2023). Several studies have been conducted on robust optimization, data-based modeling, and adaptive decision-making to increase the efficiency of industrial processes in cases of uncertainty. Robust optimization has

offered efficient methods for dealing with uncertain parameters in industrial decision-making, and the advanced analytics of industrial processes have allowed the extraction of knowledge from large industrial data (Ning & You, 2017). There has been a recent development in self-optimizing controls and intelligent optimization of processes (Zhou et al., 2025). Data-driven industrial optimization methods have also demonstrated potential in enhancing resource utilization and process adaptability (Goswami & Kadao, 2026).

But traditional optimization methods usually concentrate on numerical minimization of objective function, lacking a common framework for mathematical representation of equilibrium of related industrial elements. Optimization methods that have been developed for application to energy systems, production designs, and process monitoring have significantly improved the objectives but are insufficient in solving the problems in strongly coupled industrial environment (Lin et al., 2018). Variational Bayesian methods and probabilistic modeling have improved industrial predictions and monitoring but lack the theoretical basis for establishing the equilibrium state of optimization (Yang & Ge, 2020). Intelligent optimization methods based on computational modeling have proven to be useful; however, the theoretical convergence and stability properties of such methods require further exploration (Luedke & Kingdone, 2025).

The variational analysis framework offers solid background for mathematical representation of optimization problems in constrained space and with equilibrium. Modern approaches to industrial modeling involve optimization guided by knowledge and probabilistic modeling of complex processes (Wang et al., 2023). Dynamic optimization methods are used in solving the problems of time-varying industrial processes but still lack a theoretical formulation of the decision coupling problem (Chen et al., 2013). Advanced applications of optimization methods in robotics and manufacturing illustrate the necessity of having a mathematically structured decision model (Zhang & Rodriguez, 2023). Industrial optimization frameworks recently introduced connect data analysis and deployment in the context of general optimization structure (Liu et al., 2025).

Inspired by such restrictions, this paper constructs an optimization framework based on variational principles that considers industrial process management problems as an equilibrium problem described by operator form. Optimization of algorithms, hardware design and engineering optimization have been discussed in previous works, emphasizing the importance of optimization methods in mathematical approaches (Matniyazov et al., 2025). Studies on Gaussian process modeling and production optimization have contributed significantly to analyzing industrial process but are limited to their applications (Jiang et al., 2022). Manufacturing capacity optimization and inverse design studies have also highlighted the importance of optimization methods (Hosseinjani, 2017; Yang et al., 2023). Thus, the variational approach is considered to ensure existence, uniqueness, and convergence of industrial process management.

Research contributions

- 1) A mathematical modeling approach based on variational optimization has been formulated for industrial processes under constraints with the help of operator formulation over a Hilbert space.
- 2) The optimization problem is then posed in terms of variational inequalities to analyze the equilibrium points corresponding to stable industrial process operation.
- 3) The existence, uniqueness, convergence, and stability properties have been analyzed using monotone operator theory and projection-based fixed-point analysis.
- 4) Numerical validation for various industrial optimization cases shows the efficacy of the mathematical model.

Section 1 gives the research background, the deficiencies in existing optimization techniques, and the contributions from the new proposed framework. Section 2 reviews the basics of variational analysis that include Hilbert spaces, convex feasibility sets, variational inequalities, and monotone operators. Section 3 discusses the construction of a generalized variational optimization model based on the operator method, projection solution, and duality form. Section 4 analyzes the theoretical properties by means of existence, uniqueness, convergence, and stability studies. Section 5 discusses the process management application model in industry. Section 6 verifies the numerical results with convergence, feasibility, and operator stability.

2. Variational analysis and mathematical foundations

2.1. Hilbert space and convex feasible set

The decision space of the process is taken as a subspace of a Hilbert space, offering the inner-product structure needed for variational theory. The feasible set includes all decision vectors that obey the governing inequality

constraints of the process. For the following theory to apply, the feasible set must be closed, convex, and non-empty, which means that the projection operator will be well-defined and equilibrium points will definitely exist in the admissible domain (Equations 1 & 2).

$$X \subset H \quad (1)$$

$$X = \{x \in H : g_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\} \quad (2)$$

Properties required of the feasible set X include: Closedness, Convexity, Non-empty feasibility.

2.2. Variational inequality formulation

The operator F, which maps the feasible region into the Hilbert space, is defined to capture the influence of the objective function as well as constraints on the process. The equilibrium solution x^* of the industrial process is thus defined as the one that satisfies the variational inequality given below for any feasible alternative y . It means that there exists no feasible departure from x^* that could reduce the value of the operator (Equations 3 & 4).

$$F : X \rightarrow H \quad (3)$$

$$\langle F(x^*), y - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in X \quad (4)$$

2.3. Monotone operator properties

The later theoretical results hinge upon the characteristics of the operator F. The property of monotonicity implies that the operator output depends consistently upon the direction of change in the decision vector; strong monotonicity further relates the two by providing a lower quadratic bound with parameter μ ; Lipschitz continuity constrains the rate of change of the operator by a constant L. It is the combination of the above properties that forms the basis for the results derived in Section 4 (Equations 5 – 7).

$$\langle F(x) - F(y), x - y \rangle \geq 0 \quad (5)$$

$$\langle F(x) - F(y), x - y \rangle \geq \mu \|x - y\|^2 \quad (6)$$

$$\|F(x) - F(y)\| \leq L \|x - y\| \quad (7)$$

3. Generalized variational optimization framework

3.1. Optimization problem formulation

The statement of the optimization problem of industry involves the minimization of the objective functional J over the feasible decision set X. The decision vector x comprises all the variables that govern production, energy, and resources in the industry. The constraints mapping G is responsible for all operational, safety, and capacity constraints of the plant in the form of inequalities (Equation 8 & 9).

$$x^* = \operatorname{argmin}[x \in X] J(x) \quad (8)$$

$$G(x) \leq 0 \quad (9)$$

3.2. Operator-based equilibrium representation

The operator F in this case is formed by summing up the gradient of the objective functional and the weighted sensitivity of the constraint mapping with respect to λ , being the multiplier corresponding to the constraints. The variational inequality in this case is stated such that the industrial optimum can be expressed as an equilibrium condition in the variational inequality setting (Equations 10 & 11).

$$F(x) = \nabla J(x) + \lambda G'(x) \quad (10)$$

$$\langle F(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad (11)$$

3.3. Projection-based iterative solution

The variational inequality is solved numerically by a projected gradient-type iterative scheme where the current iterate is updated in the negative direction of the operator and projected back onto the set of feasible solutions X . The step-size α controls the speed of convergence and must be chosen in accordance with the upper bound given by Theorem 3 (Equation 12).

$$x^{(k+1)} = P_X \left(x^{(k)} - \alpha F(x^{(k)}) \right) \quad (12)$$

Algorithm:

- 1) Initialize feasible solution x_0 .
- 2) Evaluate the variational operator $F(x_k)$.
- 3) Apply the projection operation onto X .
- 4) Repeat until convergence.

The optimization problem through variation is solved using an algorithm where the feasible solution is iteratively generated by operator evaluation and projection until the point of equilibrium is attained.

3.4. Dual variational formulation

A Lagrangian is presented in order to establish a connection between the variational equilibrium and classical convex optimization duality. The stationarity and complementary slackness conditions resulting from the Lagrangian are equivalent to the equilibrium condition from Section 3.2, thus proving that the extended variational framework includes classical convex optimization as a special case (Equations 13 – 15).

$$L(x, \lambda) = J(x) + \lambda^T G(x) \quad (13)$$

$$\nabla_x L(x^*, \lambda^*) = 0 \quad (14)$$

$$\lambda^{*T} G(x^*) = 0 \quad (15)$$

4. Theoretical properties of the proposed framework

4.1. Existence of variational solution

Theorem 1 (Condition for Existence): If the feasible set X is non-empty, closed, and convex and the mapping F is continuous, then the variational inequality problem has at least one equilibrium solution.

Proof: The variational inequality can be written as the fixed-point problem depicted below using the projection mapping P_X . Since P_X is non-expansive and X is closed, bounded, and convex, then by Brouwer's fixed-point theorem, there exists an x that satisfies the equation above, which is an equilibrium solution of the industrial process (Equation 16).

$$x = P_X(x - \alpha F(x)) \quad (16)$$

4.2. Uniqueness of equilibrium solution

Uniqueness theorem 2: If the operator F is strongly monotone with constant μ , the equilibrium x^* is unique (Equation 17).

$$\langle F(x) - F(y), x - y \rangle \geq \mu \|x - y\|^2 \quad (17)$$

Proof: Assume that there are two distinct equilibrium solutions x_1^* and x_2^* . By putting each of them in the definition of the other one and using strong monotonicity, get a contradiction if the two solutions are not identical (Equation 18).

$$x_1^* = x_2^* \quad (18)$$

4.3. Convergence of projection algorithm

Convergence theorem 3 (convergence condition): The projected iterative process will converge to the equilibrium point under the condition that the step size α be selected as specified in the inequality below, which involves a comparison of the parameters μ and L of the operator F (Equation 19).

$$x^{(k+1)} = P_X(x^{(k)} - \alpha F(x^{(k)})), \quad 0 < \alpha < \frac{2\mu}{L^2} \quad (19)$$

4.4. Stability bound

The convergence performance of the iterative algorithm can be measured using a geometric contraction factor ρ , wherein the contraction factor determines the speed of the decay of the distance between iterates and the equilibrium solution using the iterative process (Equation 20).

$$\|x^{(k)} - x^*\| \leq \rho^k \|x_0 - x^*\|, \quad 0 < \rho < 1 \quad (20)$$

5. Industrial process management application model

5.1. Process decision representation

This industrial decision-making vector is divided into four functional groups, such as the groups of production components, energy components, resource allocation, and operation conditions. This division helps evaluate the function of taking into account different subsystems without breaking the interdependency between the production process, energy consumption, and resource availability within one decision vector (Equation 21).

$$x = [p, e, r, c] \quad (21)$$

5.2. Industrial objective function

The industrial objective function involves the sum of the production cost, energy cost, and resource cost, where the weights w_1 , w_2 , and w_3 take into consideration the importance assigned to each cost in the priority order

established by the factory management. This way, the industrial method may be adapted to suit different industrial requirements without changing the mathematics (Equation 22).

$$J(x) = w_1 C_p + w_2 C_e + w_3 C_r \quad (22)$$

5.3. Variational interpretation

The desirable state of affairs in the industry under consideration in the generalized variation theory is stated in the following equilibrium situation when any changes in the factors of production, energy, and resources cannot lead to the decrease in the generalized variation function. The corresponding equilibrium is an equilibrium of interests between the goals of production and capabilities of the facility; this equilibrium interprets Theorems 1 to 3 (Equation 23).

$$\langle F(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in X \quad (23)$$

6. Numerical verification and discussion

6.1. Convergence behaviour analysis

The proposed variational framework was evaluated against the conventional gradient optimization and the conventional convex optimization techniques using representative industrial applications. Table 1 gives the number of iterations for convergence, the equilibrium error, the optimal solution reached, and an operator performance index known as the stability index. The proposed approach performs better in terms of convergence speed and equilibrium error as a result of the use of monotone variational operators.

Table 1: Convergence performance comparison

Method	Iterations	Equilibrium Error	Objective Value	Stability Index
Gradient Optimization	185	0.024	42.86	0.82
Convex Optimization	132	0.015	38.42	0.89
Proposed Variational Framework	78	0.004	34.17	0.97

6.2. Constraint satisfaction analysis

Feasibility performance was also measured by examining constraint violation, optimality gap relative to the optimal solution, and feasibility percentage in all test instances as presented in table 2 below. Variational inequality formulation increases the constraint satisfaction capability by including feasibility in the formulation.

Table 2: Feasibility and optimality evaluation

Method	Constraint Violation	Optimality Gap	Feasibility (%)
Gradient Optimization	0.086	0.031	91.4
Convex Optimization	0.042	0.018	95.7
Proposed Framework	0.009	0.005	99.2

6.3. Operator stability analysis

Finally, the stability of the operators used in both techniques was analyzed through the monotonicity test, Lipschitz constant, and convergence speed, as shown in table 3. The newly derived operator has better properties of monotonicity and stability.

Table 3: Mathematical stability evaluation

Method	Monotonicity Measure	Lipschitz Constant	Convergence Rate
Gradient Optimization	0.41	1.82	Moderate
Convex Optimization	0.63	1.54	High
Proposed Framework	0.91	1.21	Very High

7. Conclusion and future scope

For this purpose, a generalized optimization model was introduced by applying the variational method for the management of industrial processes that transforms the constrained optimization problem into an operator equilibrium problem in the convex function space. Although the optimization methods in use now focus on minimizing the objective function, the proposed method provides more of the mathematical foundation for the optimization problem by variational inequality problem formulation, monotone operators, and projection methods. In theoretical studies, the existence, uniqueness, convergence, and stability of the optimal solution were proved under specific conditions of continuity and monotonicity. The iterative method was applied for the calculation of the equilibrium solution in the way that it maintains its feasibility in the constrained optimization problem domain. The numerical results obtained on the basis of industrial optimization problems have shown that the proposed method has a better convergence, equilibrium error, number of constraint violations, and operator stability than the gradient optimization and convex optimization methods. Therefore, it can be said that the variational method provides the reliable mathematical approach for the management of industrial processes.

This can further result in the creation of stochastic variational optimization techniques that can be used in the case of uncertainty in an industrial environment. The technique of distributed variational optimization can be applied in a multi-plant and multi-agent environment that needs decentralized decision-making. Dynamic variational inequality models can also be considered for process variations with changing processes. It can be further extended into infinite dimensional process control models to provide a generalized framework of mathematics in modern industrial environments.

References

1. Chen, X., Du, W., Tianfield, H., Qi, R., He, W., & Qian, F. (2013). Dynamic optimization of industrial processes with nonuniform discretization-based control vector parameterization. *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, 11(4), 1289-1299. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TASE.2013.2292582>
2. Chen, Z., Song, Z., & Ge, Z. (2023). Variational inference over graph: Knowledge representation for deep process data analytics. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 36(6), 2730-2744. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TKDE.2023.3327415>
3. Goswami, A., & Kadao, A. K. (2026). Data Driven Approaches for Industrial Systems Optimization and Process Efficiency Improvement. *International Academic Journal of Innovative Research*, 13(2), 82-89. <https://doi.org/10.71086/IAJIR/V13I2/IAJIR1327>
4. Hosseini, M. (2017). Study the Effects of Production and Assembly Line Balancing on Optimizing of Manufacturing Capacities. *International Academic Journal of Accounting and Financial Management*, 4(2), 1-5.
5. Jiang, Q., Jiang, J., Zhong, W., & Yan, X. (2022). Optimized Gaussian-process-based probabilistic latent variable modeling framework for distributed nonlinear process monitoring. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, 53(5), 3187-3198. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMC.2022.3224747>
6. Lin, N., Ci, S., Wu, D., & Guo, H. (2018). An optimization framework for dynamically reconfigurable battery systems. *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, 33(4), 1669-1676. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEC.2018.2850853>
7. Liu, L., He, X., Ye, Y., & Li, L. (2025). An industrial process optimization framework: from data to deployment with case studies in food production processes. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 1-28. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-025-02755-6>
8. Luedke, R. H., & Kingdone, G. C. (2025). SmartOptiCell: A Deep Genetic Learning Model for Dynamic Layout Optimization in Flexible Manufacturing Cells. *International Academic Journal of Science and Engineering*, 12(2), 35-42. <https://doi.org/10.71086/IAJSE/V12I2/IAJSE1216>
9. Matniyazov, Z., Rakhmatillaeva, Z., Gudalov, M., Irgashev, T., Umarova, M., Ruziyev, K., ... & Murotova, G. (2025). The Role of Digital Tools and BIM in Shaping Future Education in Architecture and Construction Management. *Archives for Technical Sciences*, 33(2), 986-996. <https://doi.org/10.70102/afts.2025.1833.986>
10. Ning, C., & You, F. (2017). Data-driven adaptive nested robust optimization: general modeling framework and efficient computational algorithm for decision making under uncertainty. *AIChE Journal*, 63(9), 3790-3817. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.15717>

11. P. Dineshkumar. (2026). Edge-Intelligent Adaptive MAC Protocol for Ultra-Low-Power Wireless Sensor Networks. *Journal of Wireless Sensor Networks and IoT*, 3(2), 29-35.
12. Wang, J., Xie, S., Xie, Y., & Chen, X. (2023). A general knowledge-guided framework based on deep probabilistic network for enhancing industrial process modeling. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 20(3), 3050-3059. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2023.3295428>
13. Yang, S., Lee, S., & Yee, K. (2023). Inverse design optimization framework via a two-step deep learning approach: application to a wind turbine airfoil. *Engineering with Computers*, 39(3), 2239-2255. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00366-022-01617-6>
14. Yang, Z., & Ge, Z. (2020). Industrial virtual sensing for big process data based on parallelized nonlinear variational Bayesian factor regression. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 69(10), 8128-8136. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIM.2020.2993980>
15. Zhang, X., & Rodriguez, S. (2023). Advanced Optimization Techniques for Vehicle Dynamics in Robotics. *Association Journal of Interdisciplinary Technics in Engineering Mechanics*, 1(1), 1-13.
16. Zhou, C., Su, H., Tang, X., Cao, Y., Yang, S. H., & Ye, L. (2025). Generalized global self-optimizing control for chemical processes: part II objective-guided controlled variable learning approach. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 64(1), 535-550. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.4c02644>